

1 LOEB & LOEB LLP  
 MICHELLE LA MAR (State Bar No. 163038)  
 2 mlamar@loeb.com  
 BENJAMIN R. KING (State Bar No. 205447)  
 3 bking@loeb.com  
 JON DARYANANI (State Bar No. 205149)  
 4 jdaryanani@loeb.com  
 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200  
 5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4120  
 Telephone: 310-282-2000  
 6 Facsimile: 310-282-2200

7 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP  
 WILLIAM A. ESCOBAR (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 8 wescobar@kelleydrye.com  
 ROBERT I. STEINER (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 9 rsteiner@kelleydrye.com  
 KEVIN J. SMITH (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 10 ksmith@kelleydrye.com  
 101 Park Avenue  
 11 New York, New York 10178  
 Telephone: (212) 808-7800  
 12 Facsimile: (212) 808-7897

13 Attorneys for Defendants  
 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL  
 14 CORPORATION, TATA CONSULTANCY  
 SERVICES, LTD. and TATA SONS, LTD.  
 15

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 18

19 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA )  
 BERI, on behalf of themselves and all others )  
 20 similarly situated, )

21 Plaintiffs, )

22 v. )

23 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL )  
 CORPORATION, a New York corporation; )  
 24 TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD., )  
 an Indian corporation; and TATA SONS, )  
 25 LTD., an Indian corporation, )

26 Defendants. )  
 27 )  
 28 )

Case No. 06 CIV 963 (VRW)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
 EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO  
 RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED  
 COMPLAINT**

**[N.D. Local Rule 6-1]**

1 Pursuant to Rule 6-1 of this Court's Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs Gopi Vedachalam and  
2 Kangana Beri, and defendants Tata America International Corporation, Tata Consultancy  
3 Services, Ltd. and Tata Sons, Ltd. (collectively "Defendants"), by and amongst themselves, hereby  
4 stipulate as follows:

5 Defendants' time to respond to the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned  
6 matter shall be extended from July 14, 2006 until ten (10) days after the Court issues and enters on  
7 the Court's docket a decision and order with respect to Defendants' motion to compel arbitration  
8 of Gopi Vedachalam's claims, currently pending before the Court. Defendants received one prior

9 ///

10 ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 extension of their time to respond to the First Amended Complaint, from June 15, 2006 to July 14,  
2 2006.

3 Dated: July 12, 2006

LOEB & LOEB LLP

4  
5 By: /s/ Michelle M. La Mar

6 Michelle M. La Mar  
7 Attorneys for Defendants  
8 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL  
9 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY  
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

10 Dated: July 12, 2006

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

11  
12 By: /s/ Kevin J. Smith

13 Kevin J. Smith  
14 Attorneys for Defendants  
15 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL  
16 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY  
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

17 Dated: July 12, 2006

LEIFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &  
BERNSTEIN, LLP

18  
19  
20 By: /s/ Steven M. Tindall

21 Steven M. Tindall  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
23 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA  
BERI, on behalf of themselves and others  
similarly situated

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25  
26 Dated: 7/13/06



27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28